

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**Patent and Trademark Office** Address:

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/314,73	88 05/19/99	ROSEN		S	0225-4185		
Г					EXAMINER		
	FINNEGAN LLP	WM31/0510	Γ	BARI ART UNIT	RON JE G PAPER NUMBER		
345 PARK NEW YORK			_	213			
					05/10/01		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

1- File Copy

Office Action Summary    Searminer				Application	n No	Applicant(s)						
Examiner    Ciliberto Barrón Jr.   2132	-											
Gilberto Barrón Jr. 2132 The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estancians of time may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In or overn, however, may a reply be timely filed offer SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the machine station, part within the station printing of the file communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the machine station, part within the station of this communication.  Failure to reply visitin the set or extended period for reply visit and shows the machine station printing that shows the machine station printing that shows the station of the printing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any extended patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.764(b).  Status  1) Separation is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  3) Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (FCT Rule 172 (a)).												
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of the may be available under the proximon of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  sheet 5tk (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire \$1k (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply the specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire \$1k (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified the third is maintained.  If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory parted will apply and will expire \$1k (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, overall timely filed, may reduce a replect the specified above, the maximum statutory parted will apply and will expire \$1k (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, overall timely filed, may reduce a replect the specified above, the maximum statutory apply and the specified above, the maximum statutory apply and the scanned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \$19 May 1999 a.  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under \$2 maximum and the specified and the process of the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under \$2 maximum and the secondary application as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under \$2 maximum and the secondary application and the practice under \$2 maximum and the secondary application and the secondary application and the secondary application and the secondary application is objected to by the Exam					orrán le							
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Educations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed expendence of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed expendence of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed expendence of the communication of the provision of							ldross					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be verible under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SK (g) MoRITIS from the mailing date of this communication.  If No period for reply is specified above, he mainty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, he mainty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, he mainty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, he mainty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, he mainty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, he mainty (30) days will be considered timely.  Any reply received by the Office is specified above, he mainty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply specified above, he mainty (30) days will be considered timely.  Any reply received by the Office above (30) days will be considered timely.  Any reply received by the Circle of the property of the mainty (30) days will be considered timely.  Any reply received by the Circle of the property of the mainty (30) days will be considered timely.  Any reply received by the Circle of the mainty (30) days will be considered timely.  Any reply received by the Circle of the mainty (30) days will be considered timely.  Any reply received the mainty (30) days will be considered timely.  Any reply received the mainty (30) days will be considered to the mainty (30) days will be considered timely.  Any reply received the mainty (30) days (30) day												
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).											
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b disapproved.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>19 /</u>	May 1999 .								
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ Th	nis action is	non-final.							
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	3)											
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Dispositi	on of Claims										
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	4)⊠											
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.											
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).												
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	6)⊠	_										
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			•							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	8)	Claims are subject to restri	ction and/o	r election re	quirement.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	Applicati	on Papers										
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	9)	The specification is objected to by t	he Examin	er.								
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e objected t	to by the Ex	aminer.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	11)	The proposed drawing correction fil	ed on	is:_ a)[_ a	approved b) disapp	proved.						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	12)	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the E	xaminer.								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119										
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).</li> </ul>												
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).</li> </ul>	a)[											
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).</li> </ul>		, , ,										
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).</li> </ul>												
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).											
Attachment(s)	14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).											
	_	• •										
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4.  20) Other:												

Application/Control Number: 09/314,738

Art Unit: 2132

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 1-11 have been presented as corresponding to claims 1-5, 12, and 15-18 of the Hiroya et al patent (5754,654). However, The instant written description does not provide support for all the elements of the claims.

In particular, the electronic ticket storage device of claims 1, 6, and 11 does not have support in the written description as recited in the claims. The limitations of the claims recite that the electronic ticket storage device stores electronic money, an electronic ticket, and a transaction history including transactions of electronic money and electronic tickets, and where said transaction history is updated, by a program stored in said electronic ticket storage device, after a transfer of either electronic money or an electronic ticket.

The disclosure of the instant application is directed to a transaction device comprising a trusted agent and a money module. This arrangement for separate trusted agent and money module components, is in keeping with the objectives of the instant

Application/Control Number: 09/314,738

Art Unit: 2132

application for a flexible, anonymous and trusted electronic system, see Summary of the Invention.

It is not established how the proposed claims, which set forth an invention that teaches away from separate components, could be supported by a disclosure that describes an invention having separate components, and the benefits and uses of the invention that is comprised of the separate components. Part of Applicant's Request for Interference, references that the functions of the money module are supported by an earlier application, separate from the instant application, describing the money module as a completely separate component.

The claimed electronic ticket storage means further requires an update feature and a transaction log history having the information for both electronic money and electronic tickets. The instant disclosure appears to disclose separate transaction history logs and a different update function in keeping with the nature of the separate components of trusted agent and money module.

In addition to the lack of support for the electronic ticket storage device, claims 610 recite steps of sending, receiving, and recording of electronic tickets and money.

However, these steps are not supported by the instant disclosure. The disclosure of the instant application appear to disclose sending and receiving tickets through the trusted agents, first, and then the sending and receiving of the electronic money, second.

Further, the recording step is not disclosed. The instant disclosure does establish a commit function, but this does not appear as a recording step as necessitated by the claims.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gilberto Barrón Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 305-1830. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays thru Thursdays from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Tod Swann, can be reached on (703) 308-7791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-0040.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

GILBERTO BARRON, JR.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 222 3131